UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,343 02/20/2004		David Wortendyke	MS1-1825US	7693
22801 LEE & HAYES	7590 12/13/2007 S PLLC	EXAMINER		
421 W RIVERS	SIDE AVENUE SUITE	SYED, FARHAN M		
SPOKANE, WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2165	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

M	
~	

- •		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		10/783,343	WORTENDYKE ET AL.			
	omec Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Farhan M. Syed	2165			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Se	eptember 2007.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summan				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/26/07. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/26/07. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/26/07. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/26/07.						

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims1-38 are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 26 September 2007 has been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 26 September
 submitted on 26 September
 submitted on 26 September

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 7-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

As per claims 7-12 and 14, the claim limitations are non-functional descriptive material, per se. When nonfunctional descriptive material is recorded

10/783,343

Art Unit: 2165

on some computer-readable medium, in a computer or on an electromagnetic carrier signal, it is not statutory since no requisite functionality is present to satisfy the practical application requirement. Merely claiming nonfunctional descriptive material, i.e., abstract ideas, stored on a computer- readable medium, in a computer, or on an electromagnetic carrier signal, do not make it statutory. See Diehr, 450 U.S. at 185-86, 209 USPQ at 8.

As per claims 13 and 15-23, the claim limitations are software per se. Computer programs claimed as computer listings per se, i.e., the descriptions or expressions of the programs, are not physical "things." They are neither computer components nor statutory processes, as they are not "acts" being performed. Such claimed computer programs do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and other claimed elements of a computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized. In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by a non-patent literature titled "Efficient Filtering of XML Documents for Selective Dissemination of Information" by Mehmet Altinel, et al., 26th VLDB Conference, 2000, pages 53-64 (previously presented and known hereinafter as Altinel) in view of a non-patent literature titled "On Efficient Matching of Streaming XML Documents and Queries" by Sailaja et al, University of British Columbia, Canada, 2002, pages 1-20 (previously presented and known hereinafter as Sailaja) and in further view of a non-patent literature titled "Index Structures for Selective Dissemination of Information Under the Boolean Model" by Yan et al., ACM Transactions on Database Systems, vol. 19, No. 2, June 1994, pages 332-364 (see IDS submitted on 26 September 2007 and known hereinafter as Yan).

As per claims 1, 7, 15, 24, and 35, Altinel teaches a method, comprising: receiving an input (i.e. "There are two main sets of inputs to the system: user profiles and data items (i.e., documents). User profiles describe the information preferences of individual users. In most systems these profiles are created by the users, typically by clicking on items in a Graphical User Interface." The preceding text clearly indicates that receiving an input is an input to the system by the user.)(Page 54, section 2.1); traversing an opcode tree that includes a plurality of opcode nodes which together define opcodes that should be executed to evaluate a plurality of queries (i.e. "XPath provides a flexible way to specify path

10/783,343

Art Unit: 2165

expressions. It treats an XML document as a tree of nodes; XPath expressions are patterns that can be matched to nodes in the XML tree." "In contrast, in SDI systems, large numbers of queries are stored, and the documents are individually matched to the queries." "In order to handle prefix evaluation, List Balance uses a stack which keeps track of the traversed element nodes in the document." The preceding text clearly indicates that an opcode tree is an XML document and opcode nodes are tree of nodes in an XML document.)(Page 54, section 2.2; page 55, section 3; Page 59, section 5.1); executing each of the opcode nodes in the opcode tree as each opcode node is encountered in the traversal to evaluate the plurality of queries against the input (i.e. "The Query Index is used to match documents to individual XPath queries." "The events that drive the execution of the Filter Engine are generated by the XML Parser (as described in the following section). In the XFilter execution model, a profile is considered to match a document when the final state of its FSM is reached. The Query Index is built over the states of the XPath queries." The preceding text clearly indicate that execution takes place within the Xfilter execution model, where opcode nodes, which are nodes within an XML documents, and plurality of queries are Xpath queries.)(Page 56, section 4.1); and wherein a relationship between the opcodes (nodes in an XML document) (Page 54, section 2.2; page 55, section 3; Page 59, section 5.1) and the opcode tree (i.e. XML document) (Page 54, section 2.2; page 55, section 3; Page 59, section 5.1) is embedded in the opcodes that is created when a query is compiled (see XFilter engine which uses a sophisticated index structure and a modified Finite State Machine approach and represent queries using XPath language)(page 53).

Altinel does not explicitly teach the method wherein the input comprises elemental language units; generating at least some of the elemental language units into opcodes; hierarchical nature; maintaining the opcode tree that is used

10/783,343

Art Unit: 2165

during processing by making a copy of the opcode tree; and updating the opcode tree copy.

Sailaja teaches the method wherein the input comprises elemental language units (i.e. Figure 1 illustrates the elemental language units, where Parts (Q), (P,R), are elemental language units.)(Figure 1; Sections 1, 3, and 4); generating at least some of the elemental language units into opcodes (i.e. Figure 1 further exemplifies the generating at least some element units into opcode, where Part (Q), which exemplifies elemental language unit and opcodes which is exemplified by 'Name', 'Brand'. Since Sailaja focuses on XML, an ordinary person skilled in the art understands that XML tags are sets of instructions that are used to create 'Name' and 'Brand,' but not necessarily limited to just creating 'Name' and 'Brand',)(Figure 1, Sections 1 and 4); hierarchical nature (i.e. Figure 1 clearly illustrates the hierarchical nature, which is a data tree node showing query labeling. To avoid cluttering of Figure 1, node numbers were omitted, however further explained in Example 11.)(Figure 1; Section 1); maintaining the opcode tree that is used during processing by making a copy of the opcode tree (i.e. "With each data tree node, we associate three lists: the QL (for query labeling) list, which will eventually contain those queries answered by the (subtree rooted at the) node, a list called CML (for chain matching list) that tracks which queries have so far been matched and how far, and an auxillary list called PL (for push list) that is necessary to manage CML..." The preceding text and Figures 3 and 4 illiustrates maintaining the opcode tree, which is tracking queries that have been answered and making a copy of the opcode tree is the three different lists QL, CML, and PL which are copies of the data tree node.)(Figure 3; Section 4); and updating the opcode tree copy (i.e. "With each data tree node, we associate three lists: the QL (for query labeling) list, which will eventually contain those queries answered by the (subtree rooted at the) node, a list called CML (for chain matching list) that tracks which queries have so far been matched and how far, and an auxillary list called PL (for push list) that is necessary to

manage CML..." The preceding text clearly indicates the use of the auxillary list, PL, which pushes the list. An ordinary person skilled in the art understands that when a push is made, it clearly states that an update is being performed.)(Figure 3; Section 4).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Altinel with the teachings of Sailaja to include the method wherein the input comprises elemental language units; generating at least some of the elemental language units into opcodes; hierarchical nature; maintaining the opcode tree that is used during processing by making a copy of the opcode tree; and updating the opcode tree copy with the motivation to perform efficient filtering of XML documents for large-scale information dissemination systems (Altinel, Abstract).

The combination of Altinel and Sailaja do not teach the method of merging opcodes into an opcode tree, wherein the language units have been parsed and compiled into opcodes; indexing branch opcodes to provide a framework for insertion of indexing techniques that are customized to a type of comparison.

Yan teaches the method of merging ("OR (v) operator is processed by merging (union) the lists")(page 335) opcodes (lists)(page 335) into an opcode tree (i.e. superset)(page 335), wherein the language units have been parsed and compiled into opcodes (i.e. "when a document arrives, we first construct the distinct-words set and occurrence table. We index the directory for each distinct word and read into memory its subtree")(page 341); indexing branch opcodes (i.e. index structures)(page 336) to provide a framework for insertion of indexing techniques (i.e. encoded)(page 337) that are

Art Unit: 2165

customized to a type of comparison (i.e. "integers" Integers are an example of customized type of comparison. Another illustration would be to use strings of characters)(page 337).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Altinel with the teachings of Sailaja and with the further teachings of Yan to include the method of merging opcodes into an opcode tree, wherein the language units have been parsed and compiled into opcodes; indexing branch opcodes to provide a framework for insertion of indexing techniques that are customized to a type of comparison with the motivation to perform efficient filtering of XML documents for large-scale information dissemination systems (Altinel, Abstract).

As per claim 2, Altinel teaches a method, the executing step further comprising using an intermediate result to execute an opcode node when the opcode node includes one or more ancestor opcode nodes that have been executed to derive the intermediate result (Page 56, section 4).

As per claim 3, Altinel teaches a method, the executing step further comprising executing a single opcode node to evaluate at least a portion of at least two of the plurality of queries (Page 54, section 2.1, 2.2; page 56, section 4, 4.1).

As per claims 4, 10, 23, and 27, Altinel teaches a method, the multiple queries further comprising Xpath queries (i.e. "The Query Index is used to match documents to individual Xpath queries.")(Page 56, section 4, 4.1).

Art Unit: 2165

As per claims 5, 8, 19, 29, and 37, Altinel teaches a method, the executing step further comprising executing a branch node to execute multiple opcode nodes that depend from the branch node, the branch node including an indexed branch lookup function (i.e. Figure 5 depicts an example of executing multiple nodes in Figure 5, section a, titled Example Queries and Corresponding Path Nodes, where the path nodes are multiple opcode nodes that depend from the branch node.)(Page 59, Figure 5).

As per claims 6, 9, 31, 32 and 38, Altinel teaches a method, further comprising a hash function as the indexed branch lookup procedure (i.e. Figure 5 depicts an example of a hash table. An ordinary person skilled in the art anticipates the use of a hash function when using a hash table.)(Page 59, Figure 5).

As per claim 11, Altinel teaches an opcode tree data structure, further comprising at least one shared segment that corresponds to multiple queries (Page 56, section 4, 4.1; page 57, section 4.2).

As per claim 12, Altinel teaches an opcode tree data structure, wherein a single execution of the shared segment evaluates at least a portion of each of the multiple queries (Page 56, section 4.1).

As per claim 13, Altinel teaches an inverse query engine containing the opcode tree data structure (i.e. "For this purpose, similar to traditional SDI systems, the

10/783,343

Art Unit: 2165

Filter Engine component of Xfilter contains an inverted index, called the Query Index.")(Page 56, section 4.1).

As per claims 14, 18, and 36, Altinel teaches an opcode tree data structure, further comprising a branch node that includes references to more than two dependent opcode nodes (i.e. Figure 3a, titled Example Queries and Corresponding Path Nodes clearly indicate that a branch node is '//b' and more than two dependent opcode nodes are /*/c/d)(Page 57, Figure 3).

As per claim 16, Altinel teaches a query evaluation system, further comprising an input module configured to receive an input (i.e. "There are two main sets of inputs to the system: user profiles and data items (i.e., documents). User profiles describe the information preferences of individual users. In most systems these profiles are created by the users, typically by clicking on items in a Graphical User Interface." The preceding text clearly indicates that receiving an input is an input to the system by the user.) (Page 54, section 2.1) that is evaluated against each of the plurality of queries when the query processor executes the opcode nodes (i.e. "The Query Index is used to match documents to individual XPath queries." "The events that drive the execution of the Filter Engine are generated by the XML Parser (as described in the following section). In the XFilter execution model, a profile is considered to match a document when the final state of its FSM is reached. The Query Index is built over the states of the XPath queries." The preceding text clearly indicate that execution takes place within the Xfilter execution model, where opcode nodes, which are nodes within an XML documents, and plurality of queries are Xpath queries.) (Page 56, section 4.1).

Art Unit: 2165

As per claim 17, Altinel teaches a query evaluation system, further configured to receive a SOAP (Simple Object Access Protocol) message as the input that is evaluated against the plurality of queries (Page 56, sections 4, 4.1).

As per claim 20, Altinel teaches a query evaluation system, further comprising an interim results cache that stores results of opcode node executions that are used in the execution of subsequent opcode nodes (Page 56, sections 4, 4.1).

As per claim 21, Altinel teaches a query evaluation system, further comprising a filter table that stores the plurality of queries, the filter table further including a reference to the opcode tree (Page 54, section 2.1; page 55, section 2.2; page 56, section 4.1).

As per claim 22, Altinel teaches a query evaluation system, an opcode object common to multiple queries further comprising an opcode object that is in a similar location of an opcode object sequence at the beginning of the multiple queries (Page 56, section 4.1).

As per claim 25, Altinel teaches a computer-readable media, wherein the first segment of opcode nodes includes ancestor opcode nodes of the second opcode node (Page 56, section 4.1; page 57, section 4.2; page 58, sections 4.3, 5).

10/783,343 Art Unit: 2165

As per claim 26, Altinel teaches a computer-readable media, the executing opcode nodes further comprising executing each opcode node a single time (i.e. "The Query Index is used to match documents to individual XPath queries." "The events that drive the execution of the Filter Engine are generated by the XML Parser (as described in the following section). In the XFilter execution model, a profile is considered to match a document when the final state of its FSM is reached. The Query Index is built over the states of the XPath queries." The preceding text clearly indicate that execution takes place within the Xfilter execution model, where opcode nodes, which are nodes within an XML documents, and plurality of queries are Xpath queries.)(Page 56, section 4.1).

As per claim 28, Altinel teaches a computer-readable media, further comprising executing one or more branch nodes to execute one or more opcode nodes that depend from the branch node (Page 56, sections 4, 4.1).

As per claim 30, Altinel teaches a computer-readable media, further comprising executing one or more indexed branch nodes to execute a plurality of opcode nodes that depend from the branch node, the plurality of opcode nodes including a similar comparison function (Page 56, section 4.1).

As per claim 33, Altinel teaches a computer-readable media, further comprising receiving an input that is evaluated against the plurality of queries using the opcode tree (i.e. "There are two main sets of inputs to the system: user profiles and data items (i.e., documents). User profiles describe the information preferences of individual users. In most systems these profiles are created by the users, typically by clicking on items in a

Graphical User Interface." The preceding text clearly indicates that receiving an input is an input to the system by the user.)(Page 54, section 2.1).

As per claim 34, Altinel teaches a computer-readable media, further comprising a compiler configured to execute each query in the plurality of queries to derive the opcode nodes (i.e. "The Query Index is used to match documents to individual XPath queries." "The events that drive the execution of the Filter Engine are generated by the XML Parser (as described in the following section). In the XFilter execution model, a profile is considered to match a document when the final state of its FSM is reached. The Query Index is built over the states of the XPath queries." The preceding text clearly indicate that execution takes place within the Xfilter execution model, where opcode nodes, which are nodes within an XML documents, and plurality of queries are Xpath queries.)(Page 56, section 4.1).

Response to Remarks/Argument

- 8. Applicant's arguments, see pages 12-13, filed 26 September 2007, with respect to claims 24-34 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection of the final office action, mail date 26 March 2007, has been withdrawn.
- 9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-38 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

... 51111. 2 100

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Farhan M. Syed whose telephone number is 571-272-7191. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christian Chace can be reached on 571-272-4190. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FMS

CHRISTIAN CHACE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100